

United States Patent and Trademark Office

gu!

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,699	07/24/2003	Jeanne Guillou	01807.002382	1064
5514 7590 01/09/2007 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFEI	LLER PLAZA		DANG, DUY M	
NEW YORK, 1	NY 10112	ART UNI		PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/625,699	GUILLOU ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Duy M. Dang	2624			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 10 period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or 11 to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute 12 reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 13 ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
. 1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ju	ulv 2003.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
·	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application					
-						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□						
7)	Claim(s) is/are rejected to.					
′=	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement				
,		r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachmen			•			
1) Undice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) X Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal I				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/15/03 +3/10/04</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/625,699 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's preliminary amendment filed on 7/24/2003 has been entered and made of record.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 22 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claims 20-21. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 22 has not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 20, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material." In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360-61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory).

Application/Control Number: 10/625,699 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Claim 20 defines a storage medium storing a program. This claim does not necessarily invoke a "computer readable storage medium" as required to meet 101. A "program" per se is not a product; it's an abstract and intangible idea that is considered "functional descriptive material". Any such functional descriptive material must be embodied on a computer readable storage medium in order for it to be statutory embodying functional descriptive material (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable storage medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized" – Guidelines Annex IV). Therefore, claim 21 is non-statutory for that reason. The examiner suggests amending the claim to embody the program on "computer-readable storage medium" in order to make the claim statutory. Any amendment to the claim should be commensurate with its corresponding disclosure.

Claims 21 and 23 are also rejected for the same reasons as set forth in claim 20 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because of the reasons as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/625,699

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claim 18, it recites "comprises means adapted to implement the method according to claims 1 or 2" in lines 2-3 and therefore, claim 18 is considered to be a single mean claim. Thus, claim 18 is subjected to an undue breadth rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. See MPEP 2164.08(a).

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation in the following claims: "it" (pronounce) recited in line 5 of claims 1 and 9, line 1 of claim 10, line 2 of claims 11 and 18.

All claims depend from claim 1 and/or claim 9 are also rejected for the same reasons.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 1-17, and 19 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duy M. Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-7389. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:00AM to 2:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew C. Bella can be reached on 571-272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,699 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

dmd 1/07

> DUY M. DANG PRIMARY EXAMINER